



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/714,283	11/17/2000	Kenya Uomori	0819.458	5525
20277	7590	08/21/2006	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/714,283	Applicant(s) UOMORI ET AL.	
	Examiner Motilewa Good-Johnson	Art Unit 2628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/12/2006</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-18 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morimoto et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,806,905 in view of Yamada, U.S. Patent 5,331,419.

Regarding claim 1, Morimoto discloses an image processor comprising: a display (10) which presents an image of an object thereon (figure 8) and an image synthesizer which generate a image representing a size at a position specified on the image presented on the display (figures 8 and 10, col. 3, lines 66-67) presented on the display in accordance with three-dimensional positional information of the object (col. 3, lines 51-59) and for combining the scale image with the image of the object (figure 8), wherein a synthesized image, obtained by combining the scale image with the object image, is presented on the display (figure 10)

However, it is noted that Morimoto to disclose representing a substantially real size, at a position specified on the image presented on the display.

Yamada discloses an image processor comprising: a display which presents an image of an object thereon; (figures 1, 5, 8 and 13, col. 3, lines 9-11) and an image

Art Unit: 2628

synthesizer (col. 4, lines 21) which generates a scale image, (col. 4, lines 55-61) representing a substantially real size, (col. 3, lines 10-13) at a position specified on the image presented on the display (col. 4, lines 21-26)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in the digital camera specifying an actual area of a region in a three dimensional coordinate system, as disclosed by Morimoto, the real size display of objects as disclosed by Yamada, to enable the actual measurement of objects of an image produced in a digital camera.

Regarding claim 2, Morimoto discloses an imaging section (3) which captures the object image containing the three-dimensional positional information (col. 5, lines 22-24); and a range image generator which draws the three-dimensional positional information from the image captured by the imaging section

Yamada discloses wherein the image synthesizer generates the scale image in accordance with the positional information obtained by the range image generator (col. 4, lines 21-61)

Regarding claim 3, Morimoto discloses imaging section comprises a light-emitting device that projects light with a predetermined radiation pattern on the object (col. 4, lines 59-67) and captures the object image containing the three-dimensional positional information by receiving part of the light that has been projected onto, and then reflected from, the object (col. 6, lines 56-67)

Regarding claim 4, Morimoto discloses an imaging section having an automation or manual focusing controller (col. 8, lines 14-29), wherein the image synthesizer generates the scale image by using data, which represents a distance of the object and is obtained by the automatic or manual focusing controller, as the three-dimensional positional information (col. 10, lines 8-16)

Regarding claim 5, Yamada discloses the scale image represents a shape of a ruler (figures 1, 5 and 8, col. 24, lines 49-51)

Regarding claim 6, Morimoto discloses an input device that is so constructed as to allow a user to externally input the specified position (col. 9, line 60 – col. 10, line 7)

Regarding claims 7 and 8, it is noted that both Morimoto and Yamada fails to implicitly disclose input device is a touch panel formed on the surface of the display, pen like point device to allow the user to specify arbitrary coordinates on the surface.

Yamada discloses entry means connected to a CPU for inputting scale factor information to specify a screen scale factor relative to the object and other purposes, col. 27, lines 64-68.

It would have inherent to include touch panel and pen like point device, as a form of the entry means disclosed in Yamada, for input to be received for the necessary

Art Unit: 2628

reproduction and scale information, because CPU's are known as having various input components.

Regarding claim 9, Morimoto discloses an input device is a cursor key or press button that allows the user to move a cursor presented on the display and to specify coordinates of the cursor (col. 9, line 60 – col. 10, line 7)

Regarding claims 10 and 13, they are rejected based upon similar rational as above claims 1 and 2 respectively.

Regarding claim 11, Yamada discloses image synthesizer combines the image of one of the objects . . . with another background image (col. 25, lines 52-63)

Regarding claim 12, Yamada discloses image synthesizer cuts out an image portion, which is made up of pixels at respective locations . . . as the separated object image . . . (col. 23, lines 5-21)

Regarding claims 14 and 15, they are rejected based upon similar rational as above claims 3 and 4.

Regarding claim 16, Yamada discloses image synthesizer is so constructed as to upscale, downscale at least one of the images (col. 22, lines 25-43)

Regarding 17, Yamada discloses a processor . . . constructed as to allow a user to externally defined or change relative positions of the images being combined (col. 28, lines 9-30)

Regarding claim 18, Morimoto discloses an image processor comprising: a display (10) for presenting an image of an object thereon and three-dimensional positional information of the object obtained form the image of the object (col. 3, lines 51-59)

However, it is noted that Morimoto to disclose representing the object substantially in its real size when presented on the display, by scaling the image up or down.

Yamada discloses an image processor comprising: a display which presents an image of an object thereon; (figures 1, 5, 8 and 13, col. 3, lines 9-11) and an image synthesizer (col. 4, lines 21) which generates a scale image, (col. 4, lines 55-61) representing a substantially real size, (col. 3, lines 10-13) at a position specified on the image presented on the display (col. 4, lines 21-26)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in the digital camera specifying an actual area of a region in a three dimensional coordinate system, as disclosed by Morimoto, the real size display of objects as disclosed by Yamada, to enable the actual measurement of objects of an image produced in a digital camera.

Regarding claims 21 and 22, Yamada discloses the image synthesizer calculates the real size of the object based on the image of the object (col. 4, lines 25-31)

Regarding claim 23, it is rejected based upon similar rational as independent claim 1. Yamada further discloses a number of images (col. 12, line 5-15) and further discloses calculating a scale in which objects are under in-focus condition (col. 15, line 47-64)

Regarding claims 24-26, Morimoto discloses the scale image and the image of the object are combined in accordance with the three-dimensional positional information of the object (figure 10)


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Motilewa Good-Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7658. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday 9:00 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Motilewa Good-Johnson
Examiner
Art Unit 2628

mgj



KEE M. TUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER